

The long-lasting story of the wrong naming of *Silometopus ambiguus* as *S. curtus* (Araneae: Linyphiidae)

Theo Blick

doi: 10.5431/aramit4707

Abstract. *Silometopus ambiguus* (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1905) is a species occurring in coastal habitats from north-eastern to western Europe. *S. curtus* (Simon, 1881), occurring in southern France and north-eastern Spain, was for a long time mixed up with *S. ambiguus*, even though corrections have been published very early and several times. This contribution summarizes publications on this topic, discusses doubtful records of both species and proposes corrections for the World Spider Catalog; and thus tries to avoid repetitions of the mistake in the future.

Keywords: coastal habitats, spider, taxonomy

Zusammenfassung. Die lange Geschichte der Fehlbenennung von *Silometopus ambiguus* als *S. curtus* (Araneae: Linyphiidae). *Silometopus ambiguus* (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1905) kommt in Küstenlebensräumen von Nordost- bis nach Westeuropa vor. *S. curtus* (Simon, 1881), die aus Südfrankreich und Nordost-Spanien bekannt ist, wurde über viele Jahrzehnte mit *S. ambiguus* verwechselt, obwohl Berichtigungen frühzeitig und mehrfach publiziert wurden. Dieser Beitrag stellt die Publikationen zum Thema zusammen, diskutiert fragliche Nachweise beider Arten und schlägt Korrekturen für den World Spider Catalog vor. Weitere Wiederholungen dieses Fehlers sollen so in Zukunft verhindert werden.

Silometopus curtus (Simon, 1881) was described by Simon (1881: p. 253, sub *Erigone curta*) based on males from France and Spain. Shortly after he transferred the species to *Cnephalocotes* and figured it (the male palpus) for the first time (Simon 1884: 704). Later, i.e. posthumously, he transferred the species to the new genus *Silometopus* Simon, 1926 and designated it as its type species (Simon 1926: 353). The female epigyne was first figured by Denis (1950: 66, Figs 5–9, sub *S. nitidithorax* (Simon, 1914); corrected by Denis 1963: 396) and the vulva by Locket (1964: 267, Fig. 3D). Denis (1963: 395, Figs 2–3) and Locket (1964: 266, Figs 2A–B) re-figured the tibial apophysis of the male palpus.

Silometopus curtus is known only from southern France (Bouches-du-Rhône: les Martigues; Camargue: several sites) and north-eastern Spain (Catalonia: Arbúcies) (Simon 1881: 253, 1884: 704, 1926: 487; Denis 1950: 66, sub *S. nitidithorax*).

Furthermore Simon mentioned a slightly different and larger male from Egypt (Alexandria) (Simon

1884: 704, 1926: 487) which he considered merely to be a variety of *S. curtus*. This seems to be very doubtful and should be re-examined.

Silometopus ambiguus (O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1905) was described by Pickard-Cambridge (1905: 67, pl. A, Figs 16–19) from Scotland (Isle of Bute) after a male he had formerly published as *S. curtus* (Pickard-Cambridge 1894: 112, Fig. 4, sub *Cnephalocotes*). Locket & Millidge (1953: 251) figured both, *S. ambiguus* and *S. curtus*, but Locket et al. (1974: 88) corrected this noting that all figures from 1953 belong to *S. ambiguus*. Denis (1963) and Locket (1964) clarified that *S. curtus* is a Mediterranean species and *S. ambiguus* occurs in coastal habitats in northern and western Europe. Since this time in Great Britain and Ireland the correct name, *S. ambiguus*, has been used exclusively. Brændegård (1958) and Wiehle (1960, 1961) erroneously used the name *S. curtus* for records in Iceland and Germany. Also Casemir (1970: Germany) and Palmgren (1976: Finland) used the name *S. curtus*, even though they should have known, or even cited, the papers by Denis (1963) and Locket (1964). Both names can be found even in the spider literature from the last two decades, e.g., *S. curtus*: Koponen & Fritzen (2013), Blick et al. (2004), Helsdingen (1999, 2013); *S. ambiguus*: Agnarsson (1996), Scharff & Gudik-Sørensen (2011), Platen et

Theo BLICK, Callistus – Gemeinschaft für Zoologische & Ökologische Untersuchungen, Heidloh 8, 95503 Hummeltal, Germany, e-mail: blick@callistus.de

Senckenberg Gesellschaft für Naturforschung, Terrestrische Zoologie, Projekt Hessische Naturwaldreservate, Senckenberganlage 25, 60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany, e-mail: theo.blick@senckenberg.de

submitted 16.4.2014, accepted 6.5.2014, online 26.5.2014



Fig. 1: Map of the records of *Silometopus ambiguus* in northern Germany (Staudt 2014)

Abb. 1: Karte der Nachweise von *Silometopus ambiguus* in Norddeutschland (Staudt 2014)

★ = record after 1999/Nachweis nach 1999

al. (1995), Tanasevitch & Koponen (2007), Bosmans (2009).

Silometopus ambiguus is the valid name of the species occurring on or near the coast from north-eastern European Russia (the north-easternmost records are from Vorkuta and south of the Yamal Peninsula, both north of the Urals, Tanasevitch & Koponen 2007: 320, Tanasevitch 2008: 129), Finland, Norway, Iceland, Great Britain, Ireland, Denmark, Germany, Netherlands, Belgium and France (the southernmost record is from the Atlantic coast of Vendée; Le Peru 2007: 184).

The records from Germany (Fig. 1) show:

That the species is known from the North Sea coast as well as from the Baltic Sea coast. The records from the Baltic Sea lead to the assumption, that records of *S. ambiguus* can be expected also in Poland, Sweden and the three Baltic states, Lithuania, Latvia and Estonia; it is already known from Finland (sub *S. curtus*: Palmgren 1976, Koponen & Fritzén 2013).

There are single records not directly on the coast. This fact is supported by single records from Great Britain up to 280 m a.s.l. (BAS 2014) and single inland records from Iceland (Agnarsson 1996: 89-90).

There are scarce recent spider data from the German coast.

Distinguishing the species. The most important contribution towards distinguishing the two species was made 50 years ago by Locket (1964). He comparatively figured the tibial apophysis of both species and provided the vulvae for the first time (Figs 2-3). Furthermore he noted (Locket 1964: 266): “Simon himself appears to have confused the two species, a tube from his collection labelled “*Silom. curtus*. Gallia” contained two males of the Mediterranean form and one of the other.” For other figures see Platnick (2014).




Fig. 2: A. *Silometopus curtus* male tibial apophysis (dorsally). B. ditto (from a little inside). C. *S. ambiguus* male tibial apophysis (ditto) (after Locket 1964: p. 66, Figs 2A-C).

Abb. 2: A. *Silometopus curtus* männliche Tibialapophyse (dorsal). B. dito (ein wenig von innen). C. *S. ambiguus* männliche Tibialapophyse (dito) (after Locket 1964: p. 66, Figs 2A-C).




Fig. 3/Abb. 3: A. *Silometopus ambiguus* vulva. B. *S. curtus* vulva (after Locket 1964: p. 67, Figs. 3C-D).

Records to be checked. There are published records of *S. ambiguus* from Spain (Majadas & Urones 2002, Moreno 2005, Cardoso & Moreno 2010: two from Central Spain, Ávila and Salamanca, one near the Mediterranean coast from Tarragona, Catalonia). These records should be checked thoroughly as well as the records of *S. ambiguus* from Albania (Deltshev et al. 2011) and of *S. curtus* from Hungary (Samu & Szinetár 1999: listed as “possibly uncertain record”, origin: Loksa 1991), Malta (Kritscher 1996) and Egypt (see above) (compare the maps in Nentwig et al. 2014, created on the basis of Helsdingen 2013).

Catalogue. The following citations in the World Spider Catalog (Platnick 2014) are still attached to *S. curtus*, but in fact belong to *S. ambiguus*:

- *Cnephlocotes curtus* O. Pickard-Cambridge 1894: 112, f. 4. (misidentified per Pickard-Cambridge 1905: 67)
- *S. curtus* Locket & Millidge 1953: 251, f. 153A, H (mf). (misidentified per Locket et al. 1974: 88)
- *S. curtus* Brændegård 1958: 47, f. 38-39 (mf). (misidentified, see above)
- *S. curtus* Wiehle 1960: 278, f. 506-509 (mf). (misidentified per Locket et al. 1974: 88)
- *S. curtus* Wiehle 1961: 180, f. 17 (f). (misidentified per Locket et al. 1974: 88)
- *S. curtus* Casemir 1970: 210, f. 4.1-2, pl. I, f. 3 (mf). (misidentified, see above)
- *S. curtus* Palmgren 1976: 98, f. 20.15-16 (mf). (misidentified, see above)

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Dietrich Mossakowski (Bremen), Aloysius Staudt (Schmelz), Jörg Pageler (Oldenburg) and Martin Lemke (Lübeck) (see <http://forum.spinnen-forum.de/>

index.php?topic=16344.0), who re-drew my attention to this case, to Jason Dunlop (Berlin) for checking the language and to Andrei Tanasevitch and Ambros Hänggi for helpful comments.

References

- Agnarsson I 1996 Íslenskar köngulær [Icelandic spiders]. – Fjölrit Náttúrfræðistofnunar 31: 1-175
- BAS (British Arachnological Society) 2014 Spider and harvestman recording scheme website. – <http://www.arachnida.org.uk/portal/p/Summary/s/Silometopus%20ambiguus> (15.4.2014)
- Blick T, Bosmans R, Buchar J, Gajdoš P, Hänggi A, Helsdingen PJ van, Ružicka V, Staręga W & Thaler K 2004 Checklist of the spiders of Central Europe. (Arachnida: Araneae). Version 1. Dezember 2004. – Internet: http://arages.de/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/checklist2004_araneae.pdf (15.4.14)
- Bosmans R 2009 Een herziene soortenlijst van de Belgische spinnen (Araneae). – Nieuwsbrief van de Belgische Arachnologische Vereniging 24: 33-58
- Brændegård J 1958 Araneida. – The Zoology of Iceland III, Part 54: 1-113
- Cardoso P & Moreno E 2010 The Iberian spider checklist (Araneae). – Zootaxa 2495: 1-52
- Casemir H 1970 *Silometopus bonessi* n.sp., eine neue Micryphantide, und vergleichende Darstellung der aus Deutschland bekannten Arten der Gattung *Silometopus* E.Simon 1926 (Arachnida: Araneae: Micryphantidae). – Decheniana 122: 207-216, Taf. 1
- Deltshev C, Vrenosi B, Blagoev G & Lazarov S 2011 Spiders of Albania – faunistic and zoogeographical review (Arachnida: Araneae). – Acta Zoologica Bulgarica 63: 125-144
- Denis J 1950 Araignées de France. III. Araignées de Camargue. – Revue française de l'Entomologie 17: 62-78
- Denis J 1963 Notes sur les érigonides. XXV. A propos de deux espèces de Camargue. – Bulletin de la Société entomologique de France 88: 392-398
- Helsdingen PJ van 1999 Catalogus van de Nederlandse Spinnen (Araneae). – Nederlandse Faunistische Mededelingen 10: 1-191

- Helsdingen PJ van 2013 European Society of Arachnology. Fauna Europaea. Version 2013.1. – Internet: <http://www.european-arachnology.org/reports/fauna.shtml> (15.4.14)
- Koponen S & Fritzén NR 2013. Checklist of spiders in Finland (Araneae). 5th version, February 2013. – Internet: http://biolcoll.utu.fi/arach/checklist_of_spiders_in_Finland.htm (15.4.2014)
- Kritscher E 1996 Ein Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Spinnen-Fauna der Maltesischen Inseln (Chelicerata: Araneae). – Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums Wien 98 B: 117–156
- Le Peru B 2007 Catalogue et répartition des araignées de France. – Revue Arachnologique 16: 1–468
- Lockett GH 1964 Type material of British spiders in the O. Pickard-Cambridge collection at Oxford. – Annals and Magazine of Natural History (13) 7: 257–278 – doi: [10.1080/00222936408656035](https://doi.org/10.1080/00222936408656035)
- Lockett GH & Millidge AF 1953 British spiders. Vol. II. Ray Society, London. 449 pp.
- Lockett GH, Millidge AF & Merrett P 1974 British spiders. Vol. III. Ray Society, London. 315 pp.
- Loksa I 1991 Über einige Arthropoden-Gruppen aus dem Biosphäre-Reservat des Pilis-Gebirges (Ungarn). 2. Diplopoda, Chilopoda, Opiliones und Araneae aus der Region zwischen Mt. Kakas (Pilisszentkereszt) und der Ispan Aue (Mikula-harasztt). – Opuscula Zoologica Budapest 24: 129–141
- Majadas A & Urones C 2002 Communauté d'araignées des maquis méditerranéens de *Cytisus oromediterraneus* Rivas Mart. & al. – Revue Arachnologique 14: 31–48
- Moreno E 2005 Iberian spiders catalogue. – Internet: http://www.sea-entomologia.org/gia/cata_intro.html (15.4.14)
- Nentwig W, Blick T, Gloor D, Hänggi A & Kropf C 2014 araneae. spiders of Europe. – Internet: <http://www.araneae.unibe.ch> (15.4.14)
- Palmgren P 1976 Die Spinnenfauna Finnlands und Ostfennoskandiens. VII. Linyphiidae 2 (Micryphantinae, mit Ausnahme der Linyphiinae-ähnlichen). – Fauna Fennica 29: 1–126
- Pickard-Cambridge O 1894 On new and rare British spiders found in 1893; with rectifications of synonyms. – Proceedings of the Dorset Natural History and Antiquarian Field Club 15: 103–116, 1 Pl.
- Pickard-Cambridge O 1905 On new and rare British Arachnida. – Proceedings of the Dorset Natural History and Antiquarian Field Club 26: 40–74, Pl. A–B
- Platen R, Blick T, Bliss P, Droglá R, Malten A, Martens J, Sacher P & Wunderlich J 1995 Verzeichnis der Spinnentiere (excl. Acarida) Deutschlands (Arachnida: Araneida, Opilionida, Pseudoscorpionida). – Arachnologische Mitteilungen, Sonderband 1: 1–55 – doi: [10.5431/aramitS101](https://doi.org/10.5431/aramitS101)
- Platnick NI 2014 The world spider catalog, version 14.5. New York: American Museum of Natural History. – Internet: <http://research.amnh.org/iz/spiders/catalog> (15.4.14)
- Samu F & Szinetár C 1999 Bibliographic check list of the Hungarian spider fauna. – Bulletin of the British arachnological Society 11: 161–184
- Scharff N & Gudik-Sørensen O 2011 Checklist of Danish spiders (Araneae). Version 26-10-2011. – Internet: <http://www.zmuc.dk/entoweb/arachnology/dkchecklist.htm> (15.4.14)
- Simon E 1881 Description d'espèces nouvelles du genre *Erigone*. – Bulletin de la Société zoologique de France 6: 233–257
- Simon E 1884 Les arachnides de France. Tome V, troisième partie. Roret, Paris. pp. 181–885, pl. XXVI–XXVII
- Simon E 1926 Les arachnides de France. Tome VI. Synopsis générale et catalogue des espèces françaises de l'ordre des Araneae; 2e partie. Roret, Paris. pp. 309–532
- Staudt A 2014 Nachweiskarten der Spinnentiere Deutschlands (Arachnida: Araneae, Opiliones, Pseudoscorpiones). – Internet: <http://spiderling.de/arages/Verbreitungskarten/species.php?name=silamb> (15.4.2014)
- Tanasevitch AV 2008 New records of linyphiid spider from Russia, with taxonomic and nomenclatural notes (Aranei): Linyphiidae. – Arthropoda Selecta 16: 115–135
- Tanasevitch AV & Koponen S 2007 Spiders (Aranei) of the southern tundra in the Russian Plain. – Arthropoda Selecta 15: 295–345
- Wiehle 1960 Spinnentiere oder Arachnoidea (Araneae). XI. Micryphantidae – Zwergspinnen. – Die Tierwelt Deutschlands 47: 1–620
- Wiehle 1961 Beiträge zur Kenntnis der deutschen Spinnenfauna II. – Mitteilungen aus dem Zoologischen Museum in Berlin 37: 171–188